

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/682,749	10/12/2001	Safwat E. Tadros	GEPL.P-068	8016
	590 10/14/2004		EXAMINER	
OPPEDAHL AND LARSON LLP P O BOX 5068			BISSETT, MELANIE D	
DILLON, CO 80435-5068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 10/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/682,749	TADROS ET AL.					
·	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Melanie D. Bissett	1711	ĺ				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 17 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a ation in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the condition of the c	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing in FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply once later than three months after the mailing	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final (on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) 🗵 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):		•				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to) issues which were	enewly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment adds limitations from four different dependent claims into the independent claim. However, the other dependent claims have not previously depended from such a claim. Thus, the inclusion of the limitations into the independent claim raises issues not previously considered by the examiner.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it does not show unexpected results for the inventive composition but rather attempts to show that other materials would have results that are unexpected. The results shown are still not commensurate in scope with the claims. For example, only one UV stabilizer material has been used in all of the given working examples, which the examiner believes to be a combination of a triazine compound and a light stabilizer fitting one of the applicant's formulae. However, specific compounds are claimed for components (b) and (c) that are never exemplified. Examples of omitted compounds include pyrimidine compounds and light stabilizers fitting the other claimed formulae. The applicant cannot claim unexpected results for such compounds, where results have not been provided to support such a claim. It is also still the examiner's position that no unexpected results have been shown for polyesters besides PCCD, where all cycloaliphatic polyestsers are claimed.

James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examinal Technology Center 1700